

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,713	09/25/2003	Satoru Fukuoka	031212	6383	
38834 75	590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	N, HATTORI, DAN	ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	DARED MIMBER	
SUITE 700			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO:	N, DC 20036		1745		

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
		669,713	FUKUOKA ET AL	FUKUOKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summar	Exa	niner	Art Unit				
		E. Echelmeyer	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	ımunication appears (on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TO Extensions of time may be available under the property of the state of this of the state o	HE MAILING DATE C visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a communication. num statutory period will apply or reply will, by statute, cause onths after the mailing date of	OF THIS COMMUNIC, in no event, however, may a rep and will expire SIX (6) MONTI the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Septem	ber 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio						
3) Since this application is in cond	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to r	is/are withdrawn fro						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 Sept Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objective.	ember 2003 is/are: a objection to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyand required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/669,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamrock et al. (US Patent Number 6,063,522).

Regarding claim 1, Hamrock et al. teach a non-aqueous electrolytic solution for a lithium cell containing linear ethers such as diethylene glycol dimethyl ether and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (column 13 lines 52-59). Hamrock et al. also teach a separator made of a microporous polymer such as polypropylene (column 14 lines 59-65). Hamrock et al. teach that mixtures of matrix materials can be tailored to provide optimum performance (column 14 lines 10-12). As evidenced by the website polymerprocessing.com (http://www.polymerprocessing.com/polymers/PP.html), the melting point of polypropylene is 173°C, which is in excess of the 150°C required by applicants.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,713 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Regarding claim 2, Hamrock et al. also teach to use of esters such as propylene carbonate and ethylene carbonate in the non-aqueous solvent (column 13 lines 52-63).

As for applicants' claim 3, Hamrock et al. teach the use of conductive salts in the electrolyte composition (column 11 lines 45-50). Hamrock et al. list lithium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide and lithium bis (pentafluoroethanesulfonyl) imide as preferred conductive salts (column 13 lines 20-25).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Hamrock et al. teach Li_xMn₂O₄ and Li_xMnO₂ as suitable cathode materials (column 14 lines 49-51).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1745

5. Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4-6 of copending Applications No. 10/787,749 and 10/785,970. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims claim similar or identical compounds in similar amounts.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix E. Echelmeyer whose telephone number is 571-272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alix E Echelmeyer

Examiner Art Unit 1745

aee

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER